

**MINUTES: DECEMBER 15, 2010 MEETING OF THE
TWIN OAKS VALLEY COMMUNITY SPONSOR GROUP**

- 1) **Roll Call and Advisory Role Statement.** Farrell called the meeting to order. Present members were: Sandra Farrell, Chair, Karen Binns, Gil Jemmott, Jon Mehtlan, and Ben Morris. The advisory roll statement was read.
- 2) **Review of minutes of previous meetings.** No meeting minutes were reviewed.
- 3) **PUBLIC FORUM:** No items were brought forward by the public.
- 3)4) **Monte Vista Buena Creek Cell Site Minor use permit#3401-00-142-01:** Location 1329 Sugarbush Drive, Vista. The project was for a cell phone repeater station, which would be attached to the existing single-family residence. Following a short presentation by the project proponent, a motion was made by Farrell and seconded by Jemmott to recommend approval of the project. The motion passed with 5 approving and none abstaining or opposing. The motion passed 5-0-0.
- 4)5) **County General Plan Update (was GP2020):** Updates were given regarding the recent Board of Supervisors hearing on the general plan and the general plan update. A short discussion followed. No motions were made on this item.
- 5)6) **San Marcos General Plan Update and Annexations:** Farrell gave an update on the General Plan Advisory Committee (GPAC) meeting at the city of San Marcos and reported there was a request by property owners along the west side of Twin Oaks Valley Road to change their zoning to commercial. Farrell expressed concern that change of use in areas adjacent to the Twin Oaks planning area would have an impact on Twin Oaks and that a change to commercial use would be more intense than the current residential/agricultural use. Farrell was also concerned that the City in their General Plan update would be planning for areas within their Sphere and part of the Twin Oaks planning area and that no one from the Sponsor Group was part of the GPAC group and from what she had observed in the past few meetings, the GPAC was agreeing in most cases to an increase of intensity of use to whatever density the landowners requested. After a discussion by Jemmott regarding the historical Sphere of Influence of San Marcos [and the negative issues connected with jurisdiction shopping, Jemmott moved that the group state opposition to annexation of any areas within the Twin Oaks Valley Sponsor Group planning area to any other jurisdiction, including San Marcos and Escondido. Morris seconded. Motion passed 5-0-0. San Marcos did not send a representative. Jemmott noted that 10 years ago San

**MINUTES: DECEMBER 15, 2010 MEETING OF THE
TWIN OAKS VALLEY COMMUNITY SPONSOR GROUP**

Marcos did not study rolling their sphere of influence back to their city boundaries as we requested and as LAFCO said that they should have. Farrell asked Jemmott and Morris to write letters to LAFCO and San Marcos requesting that the rollback of the city's sphere of influence on their northern boundary to line up with their northern city limit.

6)7) Workshop on creation of Community Plan: Workshop to revise community plan. Farrell reported there hadn't been any progress on the Community plan and that the County was busy with the General plan update. Members who had read some of the documents posted on the General Plan update as it related to Twin Oaks expressed concern that the North County Metro plan language did not accurately reflect the concerns of Twin Oaks residents and was more directed to a more urban land use outcome. Farrell was asked by members of the group to email Jimmy Wong about the groups concerns of annexation of Twin Oaks by adjacent jurisdictions and request Twin Oaks planning area be removed from North County Metro.

Respectfully Submitted, Gil Jemmott, Vice Chair